

United States Patent and Trademark Office

11/

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,735	5 07/21/2003		Robin C. Whitmore	CRANIO-42318	7156
26252	7590	09/17/2004		EXAMINER	
KELLY BAU	JERSFE	LD LOWRY &	COMSTOCK, DAVID C		
6320 CANOG	A AVEN	IUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1650 WOODLAND	HILLS.	CA 91367		3732	

DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1W			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/624,735	WHITMORE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		David Comstock	3732			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is pecified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply beriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
1) 🔲 i	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□ \$	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
(closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositio	on of Claims		,			
4)🛛 (Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.	•				
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.					
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) [(Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicatio	n Papers		•			
9)□ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Examiner	г.				
10)⊠ T	he drawing(s) filed on <u>21 July 2003</u> is/are: a)[$\!$	y the Examiner.			
A	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
F	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ur	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[] A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a) <u></u>] All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
1	. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No			
3	B. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· ·	d in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau					
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
,						
Attachment(
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🛛 Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 21 July 2003.		atent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) **Art Unit: 3732**

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Okada et al. (4,323,326).

Okada et al. disclose a self-drilling screw 1 comprising a body having a head at one end and a tip defining a generally flat cutting edge 8 and 9 at the end of the dual lead thread 6 and 7, respectively (see Fig. 1A; col. 2, lines 10-19 and 30-34; and col. 4, lines 3-5). The dual lead thread 6,7 tapers (as at 3) toward the cutting tip where the pitch widens, and transitions to a straight thread 2 toward the head (see Fig. 1A).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,735

Art Unit: 3732

Claims 4-6 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okada et al. (4,323,326).

Okada et al. disclose the claimed invention except for explicitly disclosing a head having a recess. It is old and well-known in the fastener art to provide heads with recesses in order to engage a driving tool and facilitate the application of torque to the screw (as evidenced by, e.g., Whitesell, 5,356,253, col. 2, lines 38-41). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the fastener of Okada et al. with a head having a recess in order to engage a driving tool and facilitate the application of torque to the screw. It would have been further obvious to form the screw of titanium alloy, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416. It is noted that titanium alloy is a well-known material and is suitable as a fastener due to, for example, its strength, light weight, and non-reactivity or resistance to rust. It also would have been obvious to form the screw to have a diameter of approximately 1.0 to 2.0 mm and a length of approximately 3.0 to 6.0 mm, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, a screw having a diameter and a length, discovering the optimum or workable ranges of these dimensions involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,735

Art Unit: 3732

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David C. Comstock whose telephone number is (703) 308-8514.

Œ

D. Comstock 12 September 2004

> EDUARDO C. ROBERT PRIMARY EXAMINER